

Improving hydrograph routing of a semi- distributed conceptual hydrological model Çağrı Hasan Karaman¹, Zuhal Akyürek², Ali Melih Yanmaz³

Information Technologies (GGIT), Turkey ² Middle East Technical University, Civil Eng. Dept. Water Resources Lab., Turkey ³ Middle East Technical University, Civil Eng. Dept. Water Resources Lab., Turkey





interval.



3 subbasins are delineated using GIS tools considering location of stream gage stations as the outlet. Meteorological stations located inside the sub-basins are assumed to be representative for the subbasins. If needed, lapse rate (0.5°C/100m) is used to transfer the temperature values to the mean elevation of the sub-basins. Elevation of meteorological stations are given in Table 1. Area and mean elevation of sub-basins are shown in Table 2. In this study, Precipitation, temperature and discharge data from all stations are recorded for 2017 and 2018

Motoorologi
Table 1. Elevation

	Meteo	rolo	gio
		Dar	bo
		M	ade
		Has	san
	Table	e 2.	S
Ва	asin		Α
Darl	ooğaz		
Alil	noca		
C	alut		

groundwater recharge and baseflow (Figure 4) with using parameters given Table 3.



Table 3. NAM Model parameters				
Parameter	Unit	Description	Range	
U _{max}	mm	Max. water content in the surface storage	1 - 50	
L _{max}	mm	Max water content in root zone storage	1-1000	
CQOF	_	Overland flow runoff coefficient	0-1	
CKIF	hours	Time Constant for Interflow	200-1000	
CK ₁₂	hours	Time constant for routing overland flow	10 - 50	
TOF	-	Root zone threshold value for overland flow	0 - 0.99	
TIF	-	Root zone threshold value for overland flow	0 - 0.99	
TG	-	Root zone threshold value for groundwater recharge	0 - 0.99	
CKBF	hours	Time constant for routing base flow	500-5000	
Csnow	°C	Degree day coefficient	2 - 4	

¹ Middle East Technical University, Geodetic and Geographic



- Multi-objective calibration of parameters will be integrated to the optimization module.
- Optimization would be also based on soil moisture data available in study area.
- Computer software is considered to be publicly available.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under Grant No 115Y041.

References

- Cunge, J. (1969). On the subject of a flood propagation computation method (Muskingum method). Journal of Hydraulic Research, 205-230.
- Dingman, S. L. (2002). Physical Hydrology.

- OpenLayers5, JavaScript, HTML and CSS, at the • User friendly web interface is designed to input • Based on DEM data and basin outlet point introduced by user, flow direction with D8 , flow accumulation layers are calculated and basin boundaries as well as river

A popup window is created for each sub-basin with a unique id number. Data input, selection of desired analysis and model parameters can be initialized.

Results can be visualized by clicking sub-basin. Results of desired sensitivity analysis of the model parameters are presented (Figure 15)

Figure 15. Visualization of results

Remarks and Future Work

Uncertainty analysis on input and model parameters module will be added to web interface.

Calculation of protentional evapotranspiration by Penman-Monteith equation module will be developed.

Barry, D. A., & Bajracharya, K. (1995). On the Muskingum-Cunge flood routing methodD.A.BarryK.Bajracharya. Environment International, 485-490. Brummer, G. W., & Gorbrecht, J. (1991). A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks. Journal of Hydraulics.

Nielsen, S. A., & Hansen, E. (Nordic Hydrology). Numerical simulation of the rainfall runoff process on a daily basis. Nordic Hydrology, 171 - 190.